1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	HANIF ABDULLAH MUJAHID,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C10-5916BHS
10	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' SECOND
11	KELLY J. CUNNINGHAM, et al.,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' second motion for summary	
15	judgment. Dkt. 97. Defendants' motion seeks summary judgment and dismissal of all of	
16	Plaintiff Hanif Abdullah Mujahid's ("Mujahid") remaining claims alleged in his	
17	complaint. <i>Id</i> . Mujahid has failed to file a response to the motion.	
18	A motion for summary judgment should not be granted simply because there is no	
19	opposition, even if the failure to oppose violated a local rule. See Henry v. Gill Indus.,	
20	983 F.2d 943, 950 (9th Cir. 1993). Rather, the moving party must demonstrate the	
21	absence of genuine issues of material fact, regardless of whether the party against whom	
22		

the motion for summary judgment is directed has filed any opposition. See Cristobal v. Siegel, 26 F.3d 1488, 1491 (9th Cir. 1994). Here, Defendants have demonstrated the absence of genuine issues of material fact and, in addition, an entitlement to qualified immunity. See Dkt. 97. Mujahid has failed to file an opposition. Accordingly, the Court concludes that Defendants' motion for summary judgment should be granted. The Court, having considered the pleadings filed in support of the motion and the remainder of the file, does hereby find and ORDER that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 97) is **GRANTED** and the remaining claims alleged in Mujahid's complaint are **DISMISSED** with prejudice. Dated this 18th day of May, 2012.